


BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Method For Classification Of The Surface Spread Of Flame Of Products

A Report To: AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC.
A.Ş.

Document Reference: 404679

Date: 24th October 2018

Issue No.: 1

Page 1

Testing
Advising
Assuring

Executive Summary

Objective To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic Description	Product reference	Thickness	Weight per unit area or density
A 3-coat white polyurethane coating system applied to an MDF substrate	"POLCHEM"	18.51mm *	14.07kg/m ² *
Individual components used to manufacture composite:			
Clear polyurethane topcoat	"YB.100.25/YH.100"	Not stated	150g/m ²
Clear polyurethane basecoat	"YA.100/YH.100"	180 ±10µm	2 x 150g/m ²
MDF substrate	Not stated	18mm	Not stated
* determined by Exova Warringtonfire			
Please see pages 5 & 6 of this test report for the full description of the product tested			


Test Sponsor AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş., KOSB Melek Aras Blv, NO:15-17, Tuzla, Istanbul, Turkey

Test Results: **Class 2**


An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2.

Date of Test 28th September 2018

Signatories



Responsible Officer
T. Mort*
Senior Technical Officer



Authorised
S. Deeming *
Business Unit Head

* For and on behalf of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Report Issued: 24th October 2018

This version of the report has been produced from a pdf format electronic file that has been provided by **Exova Warringtonfire** to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.:	404679	Page No.:	2 of 11
Author:	S Deeming	Issue Date:	24th October 2018
Client:	AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.	Issue No.:	1



CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES.....	2
TEST DETAILS.....	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS.....	5
TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS.....	8
APPENDIX 2 – UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT	9
APPENDIX 3 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	10
REVISION HISTORY	11

Test Details

Purpose of test	To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997 and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.
Scope of test	BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.
Fire test study group/EGOLF	Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.
Instruction to test	The test was conducted on the 28 th September 2018 at the request of AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. VE. TIC A.Ş., the sponsor of the test.
Provision of test specimens	The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. Exova Warringtonfire was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.
Conditioning of specimens	<p>The specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 6: 1989+A1: 2009 together with the specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 7: 1997 were received on the 13th September 2018.</p> <p>Prior to the tests, all of the specimens were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ and a relative humidity of $50 \pm 5\%$. One specimen from the total sample submitted for test was selected for constant mass verification.</p>
Form in which the specimens were tested	Composite - Combination of materials which are generally recognised in building constructions as discrete entities e.g. coated or laminated materials. Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick non-combustible backing board.
Exposed face	The coated face of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

Document No.:	404679	Page No.:	4 of 11
Author:	S Deeming	Issue Date:	24th October 2018
Client:	AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.	Issue No.:	1



Description of Test Specimens

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. This information has not been independently verified by **Exova Warringtonfire**. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

General description		A 3-coat white polyurethane coating system applied to an MDF substrate
Product reference of overall composite		"POLCHEM"
Name of manufacturer of overall composite		AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.
Thickness of overall composite		18.51mm (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)
Weight per unit area of overall composite		14.07kg/m ² (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)
Top coat	Generic type	Matt white polyurethane topcoat
	Product reference	"YB.100.25/YH.100"
	Name of manufacturer	AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.
	Colour reference	"Matt"
	Number of coats	One
	Application	150g/m ²
	Density	1.33-1.36g/cm ³
	Application method	Spray
	Curing process per coat	4 hours
	Trade name of flame retardant	"YB.100.25"
	Generic type of flame retardant	See Note 1 below
Amount of flame retardant	15-20%	
Base coat	Generic type	White polyurethane basecoat
	Product reference	"YA.100/YH.100"
	Name of manufacturer	AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.
	Colour reference	"White"
	Number of coats	Two
	Application rate per coat	150g/m ²
	Application thickness	180 ±10µm
	Density / specific gravity	See Note 1 below
	Application method	See Note 1 below
	Curing process per coat	See Note 1 below
	Trade name of flame retardant	"YA.100.00"
Generic type of flame retardant	See Note 1 below	
Amount of flame retardant	32-35%	
Substrate	Generic type	Medium density fibreboard (MDF)
	Product reference	See Note 1 below
	Name of manufacturer	Çamsan
	Thickness	18mm
	Density / weight per unit area	See Note 2 below
	Flame retardant details	See Note 2 below

Brief description of manufacturing process	YA.100: Mixer, 1000-1200RPM, 90-95 DK. Process complete between production process. YB.100.25: Mixer, 1000-1200RPM, 65-70 DK. And Basketmill, 1000-1200 RPM, 25-30 DK Process complete between production process,
--	--

Note 1. The sponsor of the test was unwilling to provide this information.

Note 2. The sponsor of the test was unable to provide this information.

Test Results

Results and observations	The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.
Classification	<p>In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997; the specimens tested are classified as Class 2.</p> <p>An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2.</p>
Criteria for classification	If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 3, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.
Applicability of test result	<p>The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.</p> <p>The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.</p>
Validity	<p>The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.</p> <p>This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of Exova Warringtonfire.</p>

Document No.:	404679	Page No.:	7 of 11
Author:	S Deeming	Issue Date:	24th October 2018
Client:	AYPOL SOLVENT VE KIMYA SAN. TIC. A.Ş.	Issue No.:	1



Appendix 1 – Test Results

SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	75	75	75	75	75	75
Distance (mm)	Time to travel to indicated distance (minutes : seconds)					
75	0:57	0:50	0:48	0:50	0:34	0:35
165	4:20	4:58	5:55	4:24	3:44	3:33
190	8:05	7:35	7:07	6:06	--:--	4:29
215	8:37		7:52	6:55	8:53	6:49
240			8:17	7:36		8:13
265						
290						
375						
455						
500						
525						
600						
675						
710						
750						
785						
825						
Time to reach maximum distance travelled	8:37	7:35	8:17	7:36	9:00	8:22
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	215	190	240	240	230	250

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

In the case of each specimen tested, sustained flaming ceased after 1:00. Re-ignition occurred at a time of 2:27, 3:01, 2:44, 2:51, 3:02 and 2:36 respectively.

Appendix 2 – Uncertainty of Measurement

Specimen No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	±5	±5	±5	±5	±5	±5
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	±13	±11	±14	±14	±14	±15

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor $k=2$, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Appendix 3 – Classification Criteria

Classification of spread of flame

Classification	Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
Class 1	165	165 + 25	165	165 + 25
Class 2	215	215 + 25	455	455 + 45
Class 3	265	265 + 25	710	710 + 75
Class 4	Exceeding the limits for class 3			

Explanation of prefix and suffixes which may be added to the classification

1. A suffix R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are required in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).
2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).
3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

Revision History

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

